PATENT COOP	ERATION TREATMENT
• • • • •	EIPO
From the RECEIVING OFFICE To:	24 FEB 2004 PCT
Litchfield, Laura MOTOROLA EUROPEAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OPERATIONS Midpoint, Alencon Link	INVITATION TO CORRECT DEFECTS IN THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION
Basingstoke Hampshire RG21 7PL GRANDE BRETAGNE	(PCT Articles 3(4)(i) and 14(1) and Rule 26)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 19 FEB 2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference CE10206EP	REPLY DUE within two months from the above date of mailing
International application No. PCT/EP03/50950	International filing date (day/month/year) 05/12/2003
Applicant MOTOROLA INC	
1. X The applicant is hereby invited, within the time limit in defects specified on the attached  Annex A  Annex B1 (text matter of the international of the international operations)	FIPD
Additional observations (if necessary):	-
HOW TO CORRECT THE DEFECTS:?	
since which shall draw alternion to the difference between	t embodying the correction and a letter accompanying the replacement: the replaced sheet and the replacement sheet. A correction may be transferred from the letter to the record copy without adversely affecting h the correction is to be transferred (Rule 26.4):
ATTENTION.  Failure to correct the defects will result in the international (see Rule 26.5 for further details).	application being considered withdrawn by this receiving Office
A copy of this invitation and any attachments has been sent to the	he International Bureau
and the International Searching Authority.	
Name and mailing address of the Receiving Office	Authorized officer

European Patent Office, NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

PC1/EP200 3 / 0 5 0 9 5 0

The receiving O	ffice has found the following defects in the international application as filed:	
1. As to signa	ture* of the international application (Rules 4:15 and 90.4), the request :	
a. 🔲 is	not signed.	
ъ. 🔲 is	not signed by all the applicants.	
c. is	not accompanied by the statement referred to in the check list in Box No. VIII of the request explaining the lack the signature of an applicant for the designation of the United States of America.	
d. 🗶 is	signed by what appears to be an agent/common representative but.	
	the international application is not accompanied by a power of attorney appointing him.	
•	the power of attorney accompanying the international application was not signed by all the applicants.	
e. 😿 ot	ner (specify): THE SIGNATORY OF THE REQUEST (A. CHAMBERS)	
	IS NOT AN AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVE.	
D All applican	ts must sign, including inventors if they are also applicants (e.g. where the United States of America is designated).	
2. As to indica	tions concerning the applicant, the request (Rules 4.4 and 4.5)	
a do	es not properly indicate the applicant's name (specify).	
b. Codoc	es not indicate the applicant's address.	
	s not properly indicate the applicant's address (spectly):	
d. doc	s not indicate the applicant's nationality.	
,	s not indicate the applicant's residence.	
f oth	er (spec(/y) :	
As to the lan	guage of certain elements of the international application, other than the description and claims (Rules 12:1(c) and (c)):	
a. the	request is not in a language which is both a language accepted by this receiving Office and a language of lication, which are: ENGLISH, FRENCH or GERMAN.	
b. the which	text matter of the drawings is not in the language in which the international application is to be published, this: ENGLISH.	
c. the white	abstract is not in the language in which the international application is to be published, this: ENGLISH:	
The title of the	e invention :  x indicated in Box No.1 of the request (Rule 4.1(a)).	
	opearing in Box No.1 of the request is not identical with the title heading the description (Rule 5.1(a)).	
As to the abst		
the i	nternational application does not contain an abstract.	